arXiv:1602.04553v2 [math.CT] 20 Sep 2016

ON FUNCTORS BETWEEN CATEGORIES WITH COLORED MORPHISMS

NUMATA, YASUHIDE

Abstract. In this paper, we consider categories with colored morphisms and functors such that morphisms assigned to morphisms with a common color have a common color. In this paper, we construct a morphism-colored functor such that any morphism-colored functor from a given small morphism-colored groupoid to any discrete morphism-colored category factors through it. We also apply the main result to a schemoid constructed from a Hamming scheme.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider categories with 'colored' morphisms and functors F such that the colors of $F(f)$ and $F(g)$ is the same if the colors of morphisms f and g is the same. An example of such category is a schemoid introduced in Kuribayashi and Matsuo [4]. There exists a natural way to construct a schemoid from an association scheme. In this sense, a schemoid is a generalization of an association scheme, introduced by Bose–Shimamoto [2]. Kuribayashi and Matsuo also introduced an analogue, called a schemoid algebra, of the Bose–Mesner algebra for an association scheme. The Bose–Mesner algebra is introduced in Bose–Mesner [1], and plays an important role for algebraic study for association schemes. Kuribayashi and Matsuo studies the structure of schemoid algebras. Kuribayashi [3] and Kuribayashi–Momose [5] develop homotopy theory for schemoids. In [5], Kuribayashi and Momose shows that schemoids constructed from Hamming schemes over the field of order 2 are Morita equivalent. The purpose of this paper is to generalize their methods to small morphism-colored groupoids.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we consider a small morphism-colored groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \pi)$ such that $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$ implies $\pi(f^{-1}) = \pi(g^{-1})$, and define a morphism-colored functor $\varpi(\mathcal{G}, \pi)$ such that any morphism-colored functor from $(\mathcal{G}, \pi)$ to any discrete morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ factors through $\varpi(\mathcal{G}, \pi)$. We show some lemmas in Section 3. In Section 4, we apply our theorem to schemoids constructed from Hamming schemes as an example.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18A99, 18A32, 18D99.

Key words and phrases. Quasi-schemoids; Association schemes; Hamming schemes; Morita equivalent.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP25800009.

2. Definition and Main results

In this paper we call the pair $(\mathcal{C}, л)$ a *morphism-colored category* if the following condition holds:

- $\mathcal{C}$ is a category.
- л assigns a 'color' $л(f)$ to each morphism f in $\mathcal{C}$.
- If $g, f_1, f_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ satisfies $л(g) = л(f_1 \circ f_2)$, then there exist $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g_1 \circ g_2, \\ л(g_1) &= л(f_1), \\ л(g_2) &= л(f_2). \end{aligned}$$

For morphisms $g, f_1, \dots, f_l \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ of a morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{C}, л)$ satisfying $л(g) = л(f_1 \circ \cdots \circ f_l)$, it is easy to show that there exist $g_1, \dots, g_l \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_l, \\ л(g_i) &= л(f_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, l). \end{aligned}$$

For a category $\mathcal{C}$, the pairs $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ and $(\mathcal{C}, 0)$ are morphism-colored categories, where $\mathrm{id}(f) = f$ and $0(f) = 0$ for each morphism f in $\mathcal{C}$. In this paper, we call $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ a *discrete morphism-colored category*. We say that a morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{C}, л)$ is small if $\mathcal{C}$ is a small category and л is a map from $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ to a set. We call a morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ a *morphism-colored groupoid* if $\mathcal{G}$ is a groupoid.

Let $(\mathcal{C}, л)$ and $(\mathcal{C}', л')$ be morphism-colored categories. Let F be a functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}'$. We call a pair (F, γ) a *morphism-colored functor* from $(\mathcal{C}, л)$ to $(\mathcal{C}', л')$ if $\gamma(л(f)) = л'(F(f))$ for each morphism f in $\mathcal{C}$. For a morphism-colored functor (F, γ) from $(\mathcal{C}, л)$ to $(\mathcal{C}', л')$ and a morphism-colored functor (F', γ') from $(\mathcal{C}', л')$ to $(\mathcal{C}'', л'')$, we define the composition $(F', \gamma') \circ (F, \gamma)$ to be $(F' \circ F, \gamma' \circ \gamma)$. The composition $(F', \gamma') \circ (F, \gamma)$ of morphism-colored functors is a morphism-colored functor.

Let $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ be a morphism-colored small groupoid. Assume that $л(f^{-1}) = л(g^{-1})$ for $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ with $л(f) = л(g)$. The main purpose of this section is to construct a groupoid $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л)$ and a morphism-colored functor $\varpi(\mathcal{G}, л)$ from $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to the discrete morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л), \mathrm{id})$ such that any morphism-colored functor (F, γ) from $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to any discrete morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ factors through $\varpi(\mathcal{G}, л)$.

Fix a morphism-colored small groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ such that л is a map from $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ to a set I satisfying $л(f^{-1}) = л(g^{-1})$ for all pairs $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $л(f) = л(g)$. Let I_1 be the image of л and $л_1$ the surjection from $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ to I_1 defined by $л_1(f) = л(f)$. We also define I_0 to be the set $\{\, л(\mathrm{id}_x) \mid x \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G}) \,\}$. Let $л_0$ be the surjection from $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G})$ to I_0 defined by $л_0(x) = л(\mathrm{id}_x)$.

First we define the set $\bar{I}_1$ of morphisms in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л)$. We define the binary relation $\overset{1}{\sim}$ on I_1 in the following manner:

$$л(f_1 \circ \cdots \circ f_l) \overset{1}{\sim} л(g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_l)$$

for morphisms $f_1, \ldots, f_l, g_1, \ldots, g_l$ such that $л(f_1) = л(g_1), \ldots, л(f_l) = л(g_l)$. The relation $\overset{1}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation on I_1 (Proposition 3.3). Let c_1 be the canonical surjection from I_1 to the set $\bar{I}_1$ of equivalence classes with respect to $\overset{1}{\sim}$. For $a \in I_1$, $\mathrm{c}_1(a)$ is the equivalence class containing a. The composition $\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1$ is a surjection from $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ to $\bar{I}_1$.

Next we define the set $\bar{I}_0$ of objects of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л)$. We define the binary relation $\overset{0}{\sim}$ on I_0 in the following manner:

$$л_0(\mathrm{source}(f)) \overset{0}{\sim} л_0(\mathrm{source}(g))$$

for $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g)$. The relation $\overset{0}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation on I_0 (Proposition 3.7). Let c_0 be the canonical surjection from I_0 to the set $\bar{I}_0$ of equivalence classes with respect to $\overset{0}{\sim}$. For $a \in I_0$, $\mathrm{c}_0(a)$ is the equivalence class containing a. The composition $\mathrm{c}_0 \circ л_0$ is a surjection from $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G})$ to $\bar{I}_0$.

Since Propositions 3.8 and 3.9 imply the well-definedness of the composition, we have the following:

Theorem 2.1. *We can define the small groupoid $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л)$ in the following manner:*

$$\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л)) = \bar{I}_0,$$
$$\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л)) = \bar{I}_1.$$

The source of $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) \in \bar{I}_1$ is $(\mathrm{c}_0 \circ л_0)(\mathrm{source}(f))$ and the target of $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) \in \bar{I}_1$ is $(\mathrm{c}_0 \circ л_0)(\mathrm{target}(f))$. For a composable pair (f, g) of morphisms in $\mathcal{G}$, we define $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) \circ (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f \circ g)$.

There exists a functor $\bar{л}$ from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л)$ defined by $\bar{л}(x) = (\mathrm{c}_0 \circ л_0)(x)$ for $x \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G})$, $\bar{л}(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) \in \bar{I}_1$ for $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$. Moreover $(\bar{л}, \mathrm{c}_1)$ is a morphism-colored functor from $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to the discrete morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л), \mathrm{id})$. Define $\varpi(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to be the morphism-colored functor $(\bar{л}, \mathrm{c}_1)$. We show that each morphism-colored functor (F, γ) from $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to a discrete morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ factors through $\varpi(\mathcal{G}, л)$.

Theorem 2.2. *For a morphism-colored functor (F, γ) from $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to a discrete morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$, there uniquely exists a morphism-colored functor $(\check{F}, \check{\gamma})$ such that $(\check{F}, \check{\gamma}) \circ \varpi(\mathcal{G}, л) = (F, \gamma)$.*

Proof. Consider the morphism-colored functor $\varpi(\mathcal{G}, л) = (\bar{л}, \mathrm{c}_1)$ and a morphism-colored functor (F, γ) from $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$. In this case, we have $F(f) = F(g)$ for $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfying $л(f) = л(g)$.

First we prove the well-definedness of the correspondence $\check{F}$ from $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л))$ to $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\check{F}((\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f)) = F(f)$ for $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfy $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g)$. In this case, there exist $f_1, \dots, f_l$ and $g_1, \dots, g_l$ such that

$$f = f_1 \circ \cdots \circ f_l$$
$$g = g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_l$$
$$л(f_i) = л(g_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, l).$$

Since $л(f_i) = л(g_i)$ implies $F(f_i) = F(g_i)$, we obtain $F(f) = F(g)$.

Next we prove the well-definedness of the correspondence $\check{F}$ from $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л))$ to $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\check{F}((\mathrm{c}_0 \circ л_0)(x)) = F(x)$ for $x \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G})$. Let $x, y \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfy $(\mathrm{c}_0 \circ л_0)(x) = (\mathrm{c}_0 \circ л_0)(y)$. In this case, there exist $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that

$$л_0(x) = л_0(\mathrm{source}(f))$$
$$л_0(y) = л_0(\mathrm{source}(g))$$
$$(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g).$$

Since $л(\mathrm{id}_x) = л_0(x) = л_0(\mathrm{source}(f)) = л(\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{source}(f)})$, we have $F(\mathrm{id}_x) = F(\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{source}(f)})$ which implies $F(x) = F(\mathrm{source}(f)) = \mathrm{source}(F(f))$. Similarly we obtain $F(y) = \mathrm{source}(F(g))$. Since $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g)$, we have $F(f) = F(g)$. Hence

$$F(x) = \mathrm{source}(F(f)) = \mathrm{source}(F(g)) = F(y).$$

Next we prove the well-definedness of the correspondence $\check{\gamma}$ from $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л))$ to $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\check{\gamma}(\mathrm{c}_1(a)) = \gamma(a)$ for $a \in I_1$. Let $a, b \in I_1$ satisfy $\mathrm{c}_1(a) = \mathrm{c}_1(b)$. In this case, there exist $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $a = л(f)$ and $b = л(g)$. Since $\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л(f) = \mathrm{c}_1(a) = \mathrm{c}_1(b) = \mathrm{c}_1 \circ л(g)$, we have $F(f) = F(g)$. Since (F, γ) is a morphism-colored functor from $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$, we have $\gamma(л(f)) = F(f)$ and $\gamma(л(g)) = F(g)$, which imply $\gamma(л(f)) = \gamma(л(g))$. Hence $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$.

It is easy to show that $(\check{F}, \check{\gamma})$ is a morphism-colored functor, and that $(\check{F}, \check{\gamma}) \circ \varpi(\mathcal{G}, л) = (F, \gamma)$. Moreover, the surjectivity of $\varpi(\mathcal{G}, л)$ implies the uniqueness of $(\check{F}, \check{\gamma})$. □

Remark 2.3. If $\bar{I}_0$ consists of one point, then $\bar{I}_1$ is a group. The group $\bar{I}_1$ is isomorphic to the group generated by I_1 with the following fundamental relations:

$$л(f \circ g) = л(f) \cdot л(g)$$

for a composable pair (f, g) of morphisms in $\mathcal{G}$.

Remark 2.4. Consider another groupoid $(\mathcal{G}', л')$ such that $л'(f) = л'(g)$ implies $л'(f^{-1}) = л'(g^{-1})$. In this case, we can also consider $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}', л')$. Let c'_0 and c'_1 be canonical surjections for $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}', л')$. For a morphism-colored functor (F, γ) from $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to $(\mathcal{G}', л')$, we can define a functor $\bar{\gamma}$ from $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, л)$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}', л')$ in the following manner:

$\bar{\gamma}(\mathrm{c}_0(a)) = \mathrm{c}'_0(\gamma(a))$ for $\mathrm{c}_0(a) \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, \pi))$, and $\bar{\gamma}(\mathrm{c}_1(b)) = \mathrm{c}'_1(\gamma(b))$ for $\mathrm{c}_1(b) \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, \pi))$. In this sense, the operation $\mathcal{U}$ is functorial.

3. Proofs of lemmas

First we prove a technical lemma for an arbitrary morphism-colored category.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, \pi)$ be a morphism-colored category. For $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfying $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$, the following hold:*

1. *For $t \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfying $\mathrm{source}(f) = \mathrm{source}(t)$, there exists $q \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\pi(t) = \pi(q)$ and $\mathrm{source}(g) = \mathrm{source}(q)$.*
2. *For $t' \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfying $\mathrm{target}(f) = \mathrm{target}(t')$, there exists $q' \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\pi(t') = \pi(q')$ and $\mathrm{target}(g) = \mathrm{target}(q')$.*

Proof. First we prove the first proposition. Let $t' = f \circ t^{-1}$. Since $f = t' \circ t$ and $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$, there exist $q', q \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $g = q' \circ q$, $\pi(q') = \pi(t')$ and $\pi(q) = \pi(t)$. The morphism q satisfies $\mathrm{source}(q) = \mathrm{source}(g)$. Similarly we can prove the second proposition. □

We also prove a technical lemma for an arbitrary morphism-colored groupoid such that inverses of morphisms with a common color have a common color.

Lemma 3.2. *Let a morphism-colored groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \pi)$ satisfy $\pi(f^{-1}) = \pi(g^{-1})$ for all pairs $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$. For $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfying $\pi(f) = \pi(g)$, the following hold:*

1. *For $t \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfying $\mathrm{source}(f) = \mathrm{target}(t)$, there exists $q \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\pi(t) = \pi(q)$ and $\mathrm{source}(g) = \mathrm{target}(q)$.*
2. *For $t' \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfying $\mathrm{target}(f) = \mathrm{source}(t')$, there exists $q' \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\pi(t') = \pi(q')$ and $\mathrm{target}(g') = \mathrm{source}(q')$.*

Proof. First we show the first proposition. Since

$$\mathrm{source}(t^{-1}) = \mathrm{target}(t) = \mathrm{source}(f),$$

it follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists q' such that $\pi(t^{-1}) = \pi(q')$ and $\mathrm{source}(g) = \mathrm{source}(q)$. Let $q = (q')^{-1}$. It is easy to show that $\pi(t) = \pi(q)$ and that $\mathrm{source}(g) = \mathrm{target}(q)$. Similarly we can show the second proposition. □

Now we show some propositions used in Section 2. Let us keep the same notation as in Section 2. First we show that $\overset{1}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation.

Propsition 3.3. *The relation $\overset{1}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation on I_1.*

Proof. It is easy to show the reflexivity and the symmetry of the relation. We show the transitivity of the relation. Let $a \overset{1}{\sim} b$. There exist

$f_1, \dots, f_l$ and $p_1, \dots, p_l \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \text{л}(f_1 \circ \cdots \circ f_l), \\ b &= \text{л}(p_1 \circ \cdots \circ p_l), \\ \text{л}(f_i) &= \text{л}(p_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, l). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f = f_1 \circ \cdots \circ f_l$, and $p = p_1 \circ \cdots \circ p_l$. Let $b \overset{1}{\sim} c$. There exist $g_1, \dots, g_m$ and $h_1, \dots, h_m \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \text{л}(g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_m), \\ c &= \text{л}(h_1 \circ \cdots \circ h_m), \\ \text{л}(g_i) &= \text{л}(h_i) \quad (i = 1, \dots, m). \end{aligned}$$

Let $g = g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_m$, and $h = h_1 \circ \cdots \circ h_m$. Since $\text{л}(p) = \text{л}(g)$, there exist $q_1, \dots, q_m \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\text{л}(q_i) = \text{л}(g_i)$ for all i and $p = q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_m$. Let $t = (q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_{m-1})^{-1} \circ p_1$. Since $p_1 = q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_{m-1} \circ t$ and $\text{л}(p_1) = \text{л}(f_1)$, there exist $h'_1, \dots, h'_{m-1}, t'$ such that $\text{л}(h'_i) = \text{л}(q_i)$ for all i, $\text{л}(t) = \text{л}(t')$ and $f_1 = h'_1 \circ \cdots \circ h'_{m-1} \circ t'$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t \circ p_2 \circ \cdots \circ p_l &= (q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_{m-1})^{-1} \circ p_1 \circ p_2 \circ \cdots \circ p_l \\ &= q_m \circ (q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_m)^{-1} \circ p_1 \circ p_2 \circ \cdots \circ p_l \\ &= q_m \circ p^{-1} \circ p \\ &= q_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q_m = t \circ p_2 \circ \cdots \circ p_l$ and $\text{л}(q_m) = \text{л}(h_m)$, there exist $f'_2, \dots, f'_l, t''$ such that $\text{л}(f'_i) = \text{л}(p_i)$ for all i, $\text{л}(t) = \text{л}(t'')$ and $h_m = t'' \circ f'_2 \circ \cdots \circ f'_l$. Hence we have the following decompositions for f and h:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= h'_1 \circ \cdots \circ h'_{m-1} \circ t' \circ f_2 \circ \cdots \circ f_l, \\ h &= h_1 \circ \cdots \circ h_{m-1} \circ t'' \circ f'_2 \circ \cdots \circ f'_l. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\text{л}(f) = a \overset{1}{\sim} \text{л}(h) = c$. □

Next we show that $(\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{c}_1 \circ \text{л}_1)$ is a morphism-colored category.

Lemma 3.4. *If $g, f_1, f_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfies $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \text{л}_1)(g) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \text{л}_1)(f_1 \circ f_2)$, then there exist $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g_1 \circ g_2, \\ (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \text{л}_1)(g_1) &= (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \text{л}_1)(f_1), \\ (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \text{л}_1)(g_2) &= (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \text{л}_1)(f_2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f = f_1 \circ f_2$ and g satisfy $a = \text{л}(f)$ and $b = \text{л}(g)$. Assume that $\mathrm{c}_1(a) = \mathrm{c}_1(b)$. By definition, there exist $t'_1, \dots, t'_n$ and $q'_1, \dots, q'_n \in$

$\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= л(t'_1 \circ \cdots \circ t'_n), \\ b &= л(q'_1 \circ \cdots \circ q'_n), \\ л(t'_i) &= л(q'_i) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $л(f) = a = л(t'_1 \circ \cdots \circ t'_n)$, there exist $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f &= t_1 \circ \cdots \circ t_n, \\ л(t_i) &= л(t'_i) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $л(g) = b = л(q'_1 \circ \cdots \circ q'_n)$, there exist $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g &= q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_n, \\ л(q_i) = л(q'_i) &= л(t'_i) = л(t_i) \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Let $t'' = (t_1 \circ \cdots \circ t_{n-1})^{-1} \circ f_1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} t'' \circ f_2 &= (t_1 \circ \cdots \circ t_{n-1})^{-1} \circ f_1 \circ f_2 \\ &= (t_1 \circ \cdots \circ t_{n-1})^{-1} \circ f \\ &= (t_1 \circ \cdots \circ t_{n-1})^{-1} \circ (t_1 \circ \cdots \circ t_n) = t_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_n = t'' \circ f_2$ $л(t_n) = л(q_n)$, there exist $q'' \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $q_n = q'' \circ q'''$, $л(q'') = л(t'')$ and $л(f_2) = л(q''')$. Let $g_1 = q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_{n-1} \circ q''$ and $g_2 = q'''$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \circ g_2 &= q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_{n-1} \circ q'' \circ q''' \\ &= q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_{n-1} \circ q_n = g. \end{aligned}$$

Since $л(g_2) = л(q''') = л(f_2)$, we have $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g_2) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f_2)$. Since $f_1 = t_1 \circ \cdots \circ t_{n-1} \circ t''$ and $g_1 = q_1 \circ \cdots \circ q_{n-1} \circ q''$, we have $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f_1) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g_1)$. □

Let $\overset{0'}{\sim}$ be the binary relation on $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G})$ defined by $\mathrm{source}(f) \overset{0'}{\sim} \mathrm{source}(g)$ for $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g)$.

Remark 3.5. For a small morphism-colored groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, л)$ such that $л(f) = л(g)$ implies $л(f^{-1}) = л(g^{-1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\, (\mathrm{source}(f), \mathrm{source}(g)) \mid (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g) \,\} \\ &= \{\, (\mathrm{target}(f), \mathrm{target}(g)) \mid (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(g) \,\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6. *The relation* $\overset{0'}{\sim}$ *is an equivalence relation on* $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. It is easy to show the reflexivity and the symmetry of $\overset{0'}{\sim}$. We prove the transitivity of the binary relation defined by $\overset{0'}{\sim}$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $(\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)$ is a morphism-colored category. Let $x, y, z \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfy $x \overset{0'}{\sim} y$ and $y \overset{0'}{\sim} z$. Since $x \overset{0'}{\sim} y$, there exist morphisms f and $f' \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $x = \mathrm{source}(f)$, $y = \mathrm{source}(f')$ and $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ л_1)(f')$. Since $y \overset{0'}{\sim} z$, there exist morphisms

g and $g' \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $y = \mathrm{source}(g)$, $y = \mathrm{source}(g')$ and $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g')$. By applying Lemma 3.1 to f, f' and g, we have a morphism $g'' \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\mathrm{source}(g'') = \mathrm{source}(f) = x$ and that $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g'') = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g')$. Hence $x \overset{0'}{\sim} z$. □

By Lemma 3.6, the binary relation $\overset{0'}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G})$. Hence we have the following proposition.

Propsition 3.7. *The relation $\overset{0}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation on I_0.*

Finally we show the well-definedness of the composition of morphisms of our groupoid $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{л})$.

Propsition 3.8. *If composable pairs (f, g) and (f', g') of morphisms in $\mathcal{G}$ satisfy $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f')$ and $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g')$, then*

$$(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f \circ g) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f' \circ g').$$

Proof. Let composable pairs (f, g) and (f', g') of morphisms in $\mathcal{G}$ satisfy $\mathrm{л}(f) \overset{1}{\sim} \mathrm{л}(f')$ and $\mathrm{л}(g) \overset{1}{\sim} \mathrm{л}(g')$. There exist $f_1, \ldots, f_l$, $f'_1, \ldots, f'_l$ such that $f = f_1 \circ \cdots \circ f_l$, $f' = f'_1 \circ \cdots \circ f'_l$, and $\mathrm{л}(f_1) = \mathrm{л}(f'_1), \ldots, \mathrm{л}(f_l) = \mathrm{л}(f'_l)$. There also exist $g_1, \ldots, g_m$, $g'_1, \ldots, g'_m$ such that $g = g_1 \circ \cdots \circ g_m$, $g' = g'_1 \circ \cdots \circ g'_m$, and $\mathrm{л}(g_1) = \mathrm{л}(g'_1), \ldots, \mathrm{л}(g_m) = \mathrm{л}(g'_m)$. Hence $\mathrm{л}(f \circ g) \overset{1}{\sim} \mathrm{л}(f' \circ g')$. □

Propsition 3.9. *For $f, g \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfying $(\mathrm{c}_0 \circ \mathrm{л}_0)(\mathrm{source}(f)) = (\mathrm{c}_0 \circ \mathrm{л}_0)(\mathrm{target}(g))$, there exists a composable pair (f', g') of morphisms in $\mathcal{G}$ such that $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f')$ and $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g')$.*

Proof. Since $(\mathrm{c}_0 \circ \mathrm{л}_0)(\mathrm{source}(f)) = (\mathrm{c}_0 \circ \mathrm{л}_0)(\mathrm{target}(g))$, there exist morphisms h and h' such that $\mathrm{source}(h) = \mathrm{source}(f)$, $\mathrm{source}(h') = \mathrm{target}(g)$, and $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(h) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(h')$. By applying Lemma 3.1 to h, h' and f, there exists f' such that $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f')$ and $\mathrm{source}(f') = \mathrm{source}(h') = \mathrm{target}(g)$. Let $g' = g$. Then (f', g') is a composable pair such that $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(f')$ and $(\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g) = (\mathrm{c}_1 \circ \mathrm{л}_1)(g')$. □

4. Application

Consider the pair of a category $\mathcal{C}$ and л which assigns a 'color' $\mathrm{л}(f)$ to each morphism f in $\mathcal{C}$. Assume that

$$\left\{ (f', g') \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{л}(f) = \mathrm{л}(f'), \\ \mathrm{л}(g) = \mathrm{л}(g'), \\ f' \circ g' = h. \end{array} \right\}$$

is a set for each $f, g, h \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$. We write $N_h^{\mathrm{л}(f), \mathrm{л}(g)}$ to denote the set. The pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{л})$ satisfying the following condition is called a *schemoid*,

at least when $\mathcal{C}$ is small:

$$\text{л}(h) = \text{л}(k) \implies \text{there exists a bijection from } N_h^{\text{л}(f),\text{л}(g)} \text{ to } N_k^{\text{л}(f),\text{л}(g)}$$

for each $f, g, h, k \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$. It is easy to see that a schemoid is a morphism-colored category. For any category $\mathcal{C}$, the discrete morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ is a schemoid. In this section, we consider a schemoid which is equivalent to a Hamming scheme. We apply our main theorem to the schemoid.

Remark 4.1. A (small) schemoid in this paper is called a quasi-schemoid in Kuribayashi–Matsuo [4], and is defined to be a pair of small category $\mathcal{C}$ and a partition S of the morphisms with a condition. For a schemoid $(\mathcal{C}, \text{л})$ in this paper, the pair $(\mathcal{C}, \{\ \text{л}^{-1}(\{\ i\ \}) \mid i \in I\ \})$ satisfies the condition in their paper.

Fix an integer d such that $d > 1$. Let G be the additive group $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^d$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in G$, we define $w(x)$ to be the Hamming weight $\#\{\ i \mid x_i \neq 0\ \}$. We regard w as a map from G to $\{\ 0, \ldots, d\ \}$. Define $G//G$ to be the action groupoid with respect to the natural action on G of G, i.e., the category whose set $G//G$ of objects is G and whose set $\mathrm{Mor}(G//G)$ of morphisms is $G \times G$. For $(g, x) \in \mathrm{Mor}(G//G)$, the source (*resp.* target) of (g, x) is x (*resp.* $g+x$). For $x \in \mathrm{Obj}(G//G)$, the identity id_x on x is $(0, x)$. The inverse of $(g, x) \in \mathrm{Mor}((G//G)$ is $(-g, g + x)$. Let π be the projection from $G//G$ to G defined by $\pi((g, x)) = g$. The pair $(G//G, \pi)$ is a schemoid. Moreover $(G//G, w \circ \pi)$ is also a schemoid, which is equivalent to the Hamming scheme. Let

$$\mathcal{G}(d, n) = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^d//(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^d,$$
$$H(d, n) = ((\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^d//(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^d, w \circ \pi).$$

Since $(w \circ \pi)((g, x)^{-1}) = (w \circ \pi)((-g, g+x)) = w(-g) = w(g)$, we have

$$(w \circ \pi)((g, x)^{-1}) = (w \circ \pi)((g', x')^{-1})$$

for all pairs $(g, x), (g', x') \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $(w \circ \pi)((g, x)) = (w \circ \pi)((g', x'))$. Now we apply our main theorem to the schemoid $H(d, n)$.

Let $\bar{I}_0 = \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{U}(H(d, n))$. Since $\mathrm{id}_x = (0, x) \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G}(d, n))$, we have $w \circ \pi(\mathrm{id}_x) = 0$, which implies that $\bar{I}_0$ consists of one point.

Let $\bar{I}_1 = \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{U}(H(n, d))$. Let e_i be the i-th fundamental vector $(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in G$. It is obvious that $w \circ \pi((\sum_{i=1}^{l} e_i, x)) = w(\sum_{i=1}^{l} e_i)) = l$. Since

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{l} e_i, 0) = (\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} e_i, e_l) \circ (e_l, 0),$$

$\bar{I}_0$ is a cyclic group generated by $\mathrm{c}_1(1) = \mathrm{c}_1 \circ w \circ \pi((e_i, 0))$. Let us use the symbol $+$ for the group operation of $\bar{I}_1$.

If $n > 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{c}_1(1) + \mathrm{c}_1(1) &= \mathrm{c}_1 \circ w \circ \pi((e_1, e_1) \circ (e_1, 0)) \\ &= \mathrm{c}_1 \circ w \circ \pi((2e_1, 0)) = \mathrm{c}_1(1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\mathrm{c}_1(1) = \mathrm{c}_1(0)$, which implies that $\bar{I}_1$ consists of the unit. Therefore the morphism-colored category $\mathcal{U}(H(d,n))$ consists of one object $*$ and one identity id_*, and $\varpi(H(d,n))$ is the trivial morphism-colored functor, i.e., the morphism-colored functor $(\bar{\pi}, \mathrm{c})$ defined by $\bar{\pi}(x) = *$ for $x \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G}(d,n))$, $\bar{\pi}(f) = \mathrm{id}_*$ for $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G}(d,n))$, $\mathrm{c}(a) = \mathrm{id}_*$ for $a \in \{\, 0, 1, \ldots, d \,\}$. In this case, any morphism-colored functor from $H(d,n)$ to any discrete morphism-colored category factors through the trivial morphism-colored functor. Therefore we have the following:

Propsition 4.2. *Fix a category $\mathcal{C}$. Let n, n', d, d' be positive integers. Assume that $n, n' > 2$. Each morphism-colored functor from the schemoid $H(d,n)$ to the discrete morphism-colored category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ factors through the trivial morphism-colored functor. Each morphism-colored functor from $H(d', n')$ to $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ also factors through the trivial morphism-colored functor. Therefore we have a one-to-one correspondence between morphism-colored functors from $H(n,d)$ to $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ and morphism-colored functors from $H(n', d')$ to $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$.*

Consider the case where $n = 2$. In this case, $\sum w(x^{(i)})$ is even for $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(k)} \in G$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{d} x^{(i)} = 0$. Hence the fundamental relation of $\bar{I}_1$ does not change the parity. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{c}_1(1) + \mathrm{c}_1(1) &= \mathrm{c}_1 \circ w \circ \pi((e_1, e_1) \circ (e_1, 0)) \\ &= \mathrm{c}_1 \circ w \circ \pi((2e_1, 0)) = \mathrm{c}_1 \circ w \circ \pi((0,0)) = \mathrm{c}_1(0).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bar{I}_1$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\mathcal{U}(H(d,2))$ is the groupoid $\{\, * \,\} /\!/ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ with one object $*$ and two morphisms $0 = \mathrm{id}_*$ and 1; and $\varpi(H(d,2))$ is the morphism-colored functor $(\bar{\pi}, \mathrm{c}_1)$ defined by $\bar{\pi}(x) = *$ for $x \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathcal{G}(d,2))$, $\bar{\pi}((g,x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} g_i$ for $(g,x) \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{G}(d,2))$, and $\mathrm{c}_1(a) = a \bmod 2$ for $a \in \{\, 0, 1, \ldots, d \,\}$. In this case, any morphism-colored functor from $H(d,2)$ to any discrete morphism-colored category factors through the morphism-colored functor $(\bar{\pi}, \mathrm{c})$ to the discrete morphism-colored category $(\{\, * \,\} /\!/ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{id})$. Hence we have the following proposition, which showed in Kuribayashi–Momose [5]:

Propsition 4.3. *Fix a category $\mathcal{C}$. For positive integers d, d', we have a one-to-one correspondence between morphism-colored functors from $H(d,2)$ to $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$ and morphism-colored functors from $H(d', 2)$ to $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id})$.*

References

[1] R. C. Bose and Dale M. Mesner. On linear associative algebras corresponding to association schemes of partially balanced designs. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 30:21–38, 1959.

[2] R. C. Bose and T. Shimamoto. Classification and analysis of partially balanced incomplete block designs with two associate classes. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, 47:151–184, 1952.

[3] K. Kuribayashi, On strong homotopy for quasi-schemoids. *Theory Appl. Categ.* 30 (2015), 1–14.

[4] K. Kuribayashi and K. Matsuo. Association schemoids and their categories. *Appl. Categ. Structures* 23 (2015), no. 2, 107–136

[5] K. Kuribayashi and Y. Momose. On Mitchell's embedding theorem for a quasi-schemoid. Preprint. `arXiv:1507.01745v2`.

(Numata) Department of Mathematical Sciences, Shinshu University, 3-1-1 Asahi, Matsumoto-shi, Nagano-ken, 390-8621, Japan.